

A network perspective on comorbid depression in adolescents with obsessive-compulsive disorder



Payton J. Jones^{a,*}, Patrick Mair^a, Bradley C. Riemann^b, Beth L. Mugno^b, Richard J. McNally^a

^a Department of Psychology, Harvard University, 33 Kirkland Street, Cambridge, MA, 02138, USA

^b OCD Center and Cognitive-Behavioral Therapy Services, Rogers Memorial Hospital, 34700 Valley Road, Oconomowoc, WI, 53066, USA

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords:

Obsessive-compulsive disorder
Depression
Network analysis
Comorbidity
Adolescent psychopathology

ABSTRACT

People with obsessive-compulsive disorder [OCD] frequently suffer from depression, a comorbidity associated with greater symptom severity and suicide risk. We examined the associations between OCD and depression symptoms in 87 adolescents with primary OCD. We computed an association network, a graphical LASSO, and a directed acyclic graph (DAG) to model symptom interactions. Models showed OCD and depression as separate syndromes linked by bridge symptoms. Bridges between the two disorders emerged between obsessional problems in the OCD syndrome, and guilt, concentration problems, and sadness in the depression syndrome. A directed network indicated that OCD symptoms directionally precede depression symptoms. Concentration impairment emerged as a highly central node that may be distinctive to adolescents. We conclude that the network approach to mental disorders provides a new way to understand the etiology and maintenance of comorbid OCD-depression. Network analysis can improve research and treatment of mental disorder comorbidities by generating hypotheses concerning potential causal symptom structures and by identifying symptoms that may bridge disorders.

1. Introduction

Obsessive-compulsive disorder (OCD) is a debilitating and persistent syndrome that affects both youth and adults. It is characterized by repetitive intrusive thoughts and urges, and by compulsive thoughts and actions designed to relieve the distress caused by these obsessions (American Psychiatric Association, 2013).

Depression is a common comorbidity in patients with OCD with lifetime rates estimated at 62.7–78.2% (Millet et al., 2004; Pinto, Mancebo, Eisen, Pagano, & Rasmussen, 2006). The elevated risk of suicide attempts (Kamath, Reddy, & Kandavel, 2007; Torres et al., 2011), functional disability (Storch, Abramowitz, & Keeley, 2009), and increased OCD symptom severity (Brown, Lester, Jassi, Heyman, & Krebs, 2015) among those with comorbid depression are worrisome. Although comorbid depression is both common and clinically important, the mechanisms of this comorbidity are poorly understood.

Perhaps the most common view is that depression may arise as a result of the functionally impairing, chronic nature of OCD (Anholt et al., 2011; Huppert et al., 2009; Ricciardi & McNally, 1995; Storch et al., 2009; Zandberg et al., 2015). Thus, many researchers support the view that OCD symptoms should be given precedence in treatment (Meyer et al., 2014; Storch et al., 2009; Zandberg et al., 2015). Indeed,

comorbid depression does not usually impede treatment outcomes for OCD (Olatunji, Davis, Powers, & Smits, 2013). However, some opposing evidence suggests depressive symptoms may influence the course of OCD (Rickelt et al., 2016), and may impede OCD treatment when depression is severe (Foa, 1979). Still others have argued that this comorbidity occurs because the two disorders share genetic liabilities, not because of any causal relationship between the two disorders (Bolhuis et al., 2014).

The emerging network theory of mental disorders provides a new way to understand psychopathology, and offers a fresh view on this complex comorbidity. It differs from traditional categorical and dimensional models that presuppose an underlying disease entity as the common cause of symptom emergence and covariance (Borsboom & Cramer, 2013; Cramer, Waldorp, van der Maas, & Borsboom, 2010). The latent categorical (or dimensional) disease model accurately characterizes many nonpsychiatric medical disorders: consider, for instance, rhinovirus infection (the common cold). Imagine someone with a cold who has both a dry throat and a runny nose. In the disease model, the dry throat and runny nose occur together, but they do not cause one another. They are both caused by a third variable: the disease. In other words, the dry throat and runny nose are locally independent; they share a common cause, but do not influence one another in a causal

* Corresponding author at: Department of Psychology, Harvard University, 1240 William James Hall, 33 Kirkland Street Cambridge, MA, 02138, USA.
E-mail address: payton_jones@g.harvard.edu (P.J. Jones).

fashion (Borsboom, Mellenbergh, & van Heerden, 2003).

Unfortunately, categorical and dimensional models have seen limited success in illuminating the mechanisms of mental disorders. This has led some to hypothesize that the long-searched-for latent causes might not exist (Borsboom & Cramer, 2013; McNally, 2016). If latent causes of mental disorders do not exist, how do symptoms arise, and why do they frequently covary? The network theory of mental disorders asserts that symptoms of mental disorders are *causing each other* in feedback loops that settle into self-sustaining equilibria (Borsboom & Cramer, 2013; McNally, 2016). In this view, having a mental disorder means having harmful symptom patterns that persist after external stressors have abated (e.g., hysteresis; Cramer et al., 2016).

The network theory conceptualizes symptoms of mental disorders as *constitutive* of the disorder, rather than reflective of an underlying disease entity. Networks depict the components of a system (nodes) and the links between each of these components (edges). In psychopathology networks, nodes generally correspond to symptoms (Borsboom, 2016). Modeling symptom associations can help illuminate the etiology and maintenance of mental disorders.

Consider a hypothetical network model of depression in a fictional patient named John. Relationship difficulties make John feel sad and guilty. His sadness and guilt make sleep difficult, and the following morning he feels exhausted with impaired concentration. These symptoms make him feel more worried and sad, which cycles back by causing greater sleep impairment the next day. After several weeks of constant symptoms, John sees a professional and is diagnosed with clinical depression. What caused and maintained John's depression? No underlying disease was involved. Rather, an external event (relationship difficulties) activated symptoms in the network (sadness and guilt), which created a looping chain of additional, related symptoms.

With respect to comorbidity, the network approach also offers new insight. When the symptoms between two different disorders are linked, having one disorder can activate another disorder. Identifying such *bridge symptoms* can illuminate how comorbidities develop and help us understand why comorbidities occur in some individuals but not in others. For instance, if we suppose that guilt is a bridge symptom between OCD and depression, then a patient who feels guilty about his or her OCD symptoms would be at greater risk for depression compared to a patient with equally severe OCD, but who does not feel especially guilty. It would therefore be wise for clinicians to target these bridge symptoms therapeutically to thwart the emergence of comorbidity.

McNally, Mair, Mugno, and Riemann (2017b) examined OCD and depression symptoms in 408 adult patients with primary OCD. Their analysis revealed that sadness, anhedonia and obsession-related distress were the symptoms with the highest level of connections to other symptoms. Their model also predicted that OCD symptoms lead to depression symptoms when obsessional distress leads to sadness, consistent with the view that OCD may precede depressive symptoms in adults (Anholt et al., 2011).

OCD and comorbid depression manifest differently depending on age. Although OCD in children and adolescents is broadly similar to adult OCD, it has several distinctive features. Pediatric OCD is more common among boys than girls, whereas adult OCD is more common among women than men (Karno, Golding, Sorenson, & Burnam, 1988; Shafraan, 2001). Comorbid depression is more common in adults and adolescents (78% & 62%, respectively) than in children (39%), whereas comorbid Tourette's disorder is more common in children (25%) than adolescents (9%) or adults (6%; Geller et al., 2001). Those with early onset cases of OCD had lower rates of lifetime comorbid depression (73.4%) than those with late onset OCD (81.2%; Millet et al., 2004). Comorbid disruptive disorders such as oppositional defiant disorder and ADHD are more common in youth than adults (Geller et al., 2001; Hanna, 1995).

In the present study, we used network analysis to explore the possible functional relations among OCD and depression symptoms in

adolescents about to undergo treatment for OCD. We had two chief aims. Our first aim was to further develop the network model of OCD-depression comorbidity. Our second aim was to examine the distinctions that emerge between the adolescent network of OCD-depression comorbidity and the adult network in McNally et al. (2017b).

2. Methods

2.1. Participants

The participants were 87 adolescents beginning treatment for OCD in the residential and intensive outpatient units of the Obsessive-Compulsive Disorder Center at Rogers Memorial Hospital. There were 41 (47.1%) males and 46 (52.9%) females, who ranged in age from 13 to 17 ($M = 15.37$, $SD = 1.17$). The racial/ethnic breakdown was Caucasian ($n = 72$), Asian ($n = 10$), Hispanic ($n = 2$), biracial ($n = 2$), and Black ($n = 1$). Board-certified staff psychiatrists, who are all experts in OCD and related disorders, used DSM-IV criteria to diagnose patients upon admission. These diagnoses were based on in-depth reviews of patients' records from previous providers, information gathered by the hospital admissions staff, and an unstructured clinical interview with the adolescents and caretakers upon arrival at the treatment center. All participants received a primary diagnosis of OCD, and each consented for their data to be used in de-identified research. Current comorbid disorders according to these diagnoses were major depression ($n = 21$; 24.1%), depressive mood disorder not otherwise specified ($n = 41$; 47.1%, combined percentage = 71.3%), attention deficit hyperactivity disorder ($n = 25$; 28.7%), generalized anxiety disorder ($n = 18$; 20.7%), social anxiety disorder ($n = 10$; 11.5%), and tic/Tourette's syndrome ($n = 8$; 9.2%). Elevated comorbidities of current mood disorders is likely a result of the sample largely consisting of severe, clinically complex residential inpatients.

2.2. Measures

2.2.1. Children's Yale-Brown Obsessive Compulsive Scale Self-Report (CY-BOCS-SR; Scahill et al., 1997)

The CY-BOCS-SR is a self-report questionnaire that evaluates the severity of OCD symptoms during the previous week. The scale includes 10 five-point Likert items which are summed to a score that ranges from 0 to 40 (a score of 16 or above signifies clinically significant severity). Reliability and validity of the self-report version of the scale are satisfactory (Scahill et al., 1997). The mean score in our sample was 26.1 ($SD = 5.8$, range = 24; Conelea, Schmidt, Leonard, Riemann, & Cahill, 2012). The 10 questions in the CY-BOCS-SR correspond to the 10 questions in the adult version of the scale (Y-BOCS; Goodman et al., 1989). For our figures, we used the following abbreviations for CY-BOCS-SR symptoms: 1) *obtime* (time occupied by obsessive thoughts), 2) *obinterfer* (interference in one's life due to obsessive thoughts), 3) *obdistress* (distress associated with obsessive thoughts), 4) *obresist* (difficulty resisting obsessions), 5) *obcontrol* (difficulty controlling obsessions), 6) *comptime* (time spent performing compulsive behaviors), 7) *compinterf* (interference in one's life due to compulsive behaviors), 8) *compdis* (distress associated with compulsive behavior), 9) *compresist* (difficulty resisting compulsions), and 10) *compcont* (difficulty controlling compulsions).

2.2.2. Quick Inventory of Depressive Symptomatology (QIDS-SR; Rush et al., 2003)

The QIDS-SR is a self-report questionnaire that evaluates the severity of depression symptoms. The scale includes 16 four-point Likert items summing to a total score ranging from 0 to 64. Reliability and validity of the scale are satisfactory (Rush et al., 2003). The mean score in our sample was 10.7 ($SD = 5.9$, range = 25). For our figures, we used the following abbreviations for QIDS symptoms: 1) *onset* (difficulty falling asleep), 2) *middle* (difficulty sleeping during the night), 3) *late*

(waking up too early), 4) *hypersom* (sleeping too much), 5) *sad* (feeling sad), 6) *decappetite* (decreased appetite), 7) *incappetite* (increased appetite), 8) *weightloss* (decreased weight within the last two weeks), 9) *weightgain* (increased weight within the last two weeks), 10) *concen* (impairment in concentration/decision making), 11) *guilt* (self-blaming view of oneself), 12) *suicide* (thoughts of death or suicide), 13) *anhedonia* (loss of general interest), 14) *fatigue* (low energy level), 15) *retard* (feeling slowed down), and 16) *agitation* (feeling restless).

2.4. Analyses

2.4.1. Association network and community analysis

Using the R package *qgraph* (Epskamp, Cramer, Waldorp, Schmittman, & Borsboom, 2012; R Core Team, 2017), we computed an association network that depicts Pearson product-moment correlations between pairs of nodes. Only the strongest correlations appear in the graph ($r > |.25|$). The resultant network is a graphical depiction of a correlation matrix.

We then conducted analyses to test for communities of nodes in this network. Communities are clusters of nodes whose interconnections are especially dense. In psychopathology networks, communities often correspond to syndromic subnetworks within the larger network (e.g., cluster of grief symptoms versus a cluster of depression symptoms; Robinaugh, LeBlanc, Vuletich, & McNally, 2014). Community analysis is a mathematical approach to identifying subnetworks within a larger network structure (Fortunato, 2010).

Our intention in using community analysis was to determine whether OCD and depression could be reliably detected as separate communities. We used the spin-glass community detection method as well as four additional methods to ensure the stability of the community structure across methodologies. We used the following functions from the R package *igraph* (Csardi & Nepusz, 2006) to detect communities: spin-glass (*spinglass.community*), walk-trap (*walktrap.community*), leading eigenvector (*cluster.leading.eigen*), edge-betweenness (*cluster.edge.betweenness*), and fast-greedy (*cluster.fast.greedy*).

2.4.2. Graphical LASSO

We generated a graphical LASSO (Least Absolute Shrinkage and Selection Operator) model (Friedman, Hastie, & Tibshirani, 2011) using the Extended Bayesian Information Criterion function EBICglasso in the R package *qgraph*. The graphical LASSO depicts regularized partial correlations between pairs of symptoms, retaining only the largest, potentially causal ones. The LASSO applies an L1 penalty that shrinks trivially small partial correlations to zero and thus removes such likely spurious edges from the network. The severity of the sparsity parameter can be adjusted between 0 and 1; given our small sample size, we set the tuning parameter to 0.3 (a relatively low stringency) to attempt a balance between sparseness and sensitivity. The resultant network graphically depicts a partial correlation matrix with trivially small associations removed.

2.4.3. Directed acyclic graph (DAG)

The DAG is a Bayesian network approach that gives us information about both the strength and the *direction* of connections. Directions give us clues as to what symptom associations might be causally important. The DAG models a network in which edges are *directed* and *noncircular*. Directed edges allow us to glimpse preliminary hints as to which symptoms may play a causal role in creating other symptoms.

We modeled the DAG by bootstrapping the Bayesian hill-climbing function *hc* in the R package *bnlearn* (Scutari, 2010; Scutari & Denis, 2014) and creating an average of the 50,000 bootstrapped networks. The hill-climbing algorithm functions by repeatedly creating new models of the network, and testing these models by their goodness-of-fit to the observed data. We verified the network stability by accepting only the edges that appeared in a specified proportion of the models (49.8%), based on the optimal significance threshold for inclusion (Scutari & Nagarajan, 2013).

2.4.4. Centrality

In addition to generating the networks, we computed metrics for measuring centrality of nodes in each network. Centrality in a network refers to the degree to which a given node is important to the rest of the network. The centrality of nodes in a psychopathology network indicates which symptoms play an important role in maintaining the disorder. We computed *strength centrality*, *betweenness centrality*, and *closeness centrality*. Strength centrality is the sum of all the weights connected to a given node. In other words, strength centrality measures a node's total correlation with all other nodes. Betweenness is the number of times that a node lies on the shortest path between two other nodes, and closeness is the average length of the shortest path between the given node and all other nodes in the network.

3. Results

3.1. Association network

Fig. 1 presents the association network. Weight loss and decreased appetite (*weightloss*, *decappetite*) were isolated, with no strong edges ($r > |0.25|$) connecting to the rest of the network. Hypersomnia, weight gain, and increased appetite (*hypersom*, *weightgain*, *incappetite*) were weakly connected to the rest of the network. Two important bridges between OCD and depression symptoms emerged: distress associated with obsessions (*obdistress*) linked to concentration difficulties, sadness, and guilt (*concen*, *sad*, *guilt*), and lack of control over obsessions (*obcontrol*) linked to guilt (*guilt*). Concentration problems (*concen*) emerged as the most central node in the network in terms of betweenness, closeness, and strength centrality. Other notable high centrality nodes included sadness, time spent obsessing, and distress associated with obsessions (*sad*, *obtime*, *obdistress*). Normalized centrality metrics appear in the supplemental materials.

Community analysis mathematically detects subgroups within a network. We used several community detection algorithms (see Table 1). Analyses were confirmatory of visual interpretation: depressive symptoms and OCD symptoms formed two separate communities in each analysis, with weight and sleep-related symptoms most commonly diverging from this basic structure.

3.2. Graphical LASSO

The graphical LASSO starts with partial correlations between pairs of symptoms, controlling for the influence of all other symptoms in the network, and then applies a penalty to small partial correlations, shrinking them to zero and resulting in a sparser network that represents only the largest edges (Fig. 2). Edges appearing in the association network that remain in the graphical LASSO are those most likely to constitute genuine causal connections. Node centrality metrics of the graphical LASSO are presented in Fig. 3. Because the estimated graph is disconnected, all closeness values were equal to zero, and are thus not presented in the figure. Concentration impairment (*concen*) remained the most central node in the network in terms of strength and betweenness, with time spent obsessing, sadness, and fatigue (*obtime*, *sad*, *fatigue*) as other notably central nodes.

3.3. Bayesian directed network (Directed acyclic graph [DAG])

Fig. 4 presents the DAG. The directed nature of the DAG provides clues about causality, which can guide future research. The clues we gain from the DAG can give us a good idea as to what to investigate in future time-series or experimental procedures. However, a common misinterpretation should be avoided: direction alone cannot be interpreted as a causal effect. The DAG is used here for hypothesis *generating*, rather than hypothesis *testing*. The hill-climbing algorithm creates random models which include direction of prediction and then iterates until a goodness-of-fit score is achieved. This direction does not imply




Fig. 1. Association network of OCD and depression symptoms in 87 adolescents. Nodes represent symptoms of OCD or depression, and edges represent zero-order correlations between symptoms. Thicker edges represent stronger correlations. Small edges ($r < .25$) were excluded from this graph for clarity, but were not excluded in the calculation of other network statistics.

temporal precedence, and thus gives limited information about causation. It simply tells us what causal model is most likely to have generated the data, assuming that the data were actually generated from a directed causal model. In other words, the DAG assumes a causal account (unidirectional, non-looping predictions), and identifies the model that best fits this account.

In our averaged model, OCD symptoms predicted depression symptoms. This direction of prediction is consistent with many previous reports in the literature (Anholt et al., 2011; Meyer et al., 2014; Millet et al., 2004; Ricciardi & McNally, 1995; Zandberg et al., 2015) as well as with the DAG presented in McNally et al. (2017b). The actual nodes involved, however, departed from the aforementioned adult model: the

Table 1
Community analysis of association network.

Symptom	Spin-glass	Walk-trap	Edge-betweenness	Eigenvector	Fast greedy
onset	Depression*	Depression	Depression	Depression	Depression
middle	Depression	Depression	Depression	Depression	Depression
late	Depression	Depression	Depression	Depression	Depression
hypersom	hypersom**	Depression	Sleep/appetite	OCD	Sleep/appetite
sad	Depression	Depression	Depression	Depression	Depression
decappetite	Appetite	Depression	decappetite	OCD	Sleep/appetite
incappetite	Depression	Depression	Depression	Depression	Depression
weightloss	Appetite	Depression	Sleep/appetite	Depression	Sleep/appetite
weightgain	Depression	Depression	Depression	Depression	Depression
concen	Depression	Depression	Depression	Depression	Depression
guilt	Depression	Depression	Depression	Depression	Depression
suicide	Depression	Depression	Depression	Depression	Depression
anhedonia	Depression	Depression	Depression	Depression	Depression
fatigue	Depression	Depression	Depression	Depression	Depression
retard	Depression	Depression	Depression	Depression	Depression
agitation	Depression	Depression	Depression	Depression	Depression
obtime	OCD	OCD	OCD	OCD	OCD
obinterfer	OCD	OCD	obinterfer	OCD	OCD
obdistress	OCD	OCD	OCD	OCD	OCD
obresist	OCD	OCD	OCD	OCD	OCD
obcontrol	OCD	OCD	OCD	OCD	OCD
comptime	OCD	OCD	OCD	OCD	OCD
compinterf	OCD	OCD	OCD	OCD	OCD
compdis	OCD	OCD	OCD	OCD	OCD
compresis	OCD	OCD	OCD	OCD	OCD
compcont	OCD	OCD	OCD	OCD	OCD
# of communities:	4	2	5	2	3

* Community titles are based on face-valid evaluation of symptoms within the group.
 ** Communities consisting of a single symptom are named by that symptom.




Fig 2. Graphical LASSO model of OCD and depression symptoms in 87 adolescents. Nodes represent symptoms of OCD or depression, and edges represent regularized partial correlations between symptoms ($\gamma = 0.3$). Thicker edges represent stronger correlations.

main connections between OCD and depression-related symptoms were from *obtime* to *concen* and from *obcontrol* to *guilt*. In adults, the connection between OCD and depression-related symptoms was from *obdistress* to *sad*.

4. Discussion

We used network analysis to model adolescent OCD-depression comorbidity as a system of inter-symptom functional relations. In our analysis, OCD symptoms and depression symptoms reliably clustered into two separate communities. This provides support for the conceptualization of OCD and depression as distinct, yet related, syndromes. Our approach assumes that these syndromes exist as communities of interacting symptoms rather than categorical disease entities.

Network theory may be particularly helpful for understanding comorbidities: if we focus prevention and treatment on the symptoms that link two disorders, we may be able to reduce comorbidities by effectively “burning the bridges” between disorders. The bridge symptoms that linked the two communities in our exploratory networks were obsessional problems in the OCD community (*obdistress*, *obcontrol*, *obtime*) and concentration difficulties, guilt, and sadness in the depression community (*concen*, *guilt*, *sad*). In the DAG, OCD symptoms predicted depression symptoms, and not the reverse. This is convergent with many previous reports which suggest that OCD generally precedes depression when the two are comorbid (Anholt et al., 2011; Meyer et al., 2014; Millet et al., 2004; Ricciardi & McNally, 1995; Zandberg et al., 2015). Moreover, the connection between OCD and depression emerged through the obsessional branch of the network, not the compulsive one. This converges with evidence that depressed mood (Ricciardi & McNally, 1995) and severity of comorbid major depression (Besiroglu, Uguz, Saglam, Agargun, & Cilli, 2007) are related to obsessions, but not to compulsions.

Guilt was a bridge symptom linking the OCD community to the depression community. Guilt is a common feature of both syndromes: state and trait guilt are elevated in sufferers of OCD (Shafraan, Watkins, & Charman, 1996), and are linked to depressive symptoms (Kim, Thibodeau, & Jorgensen, 2011). Additionally, guilt is a common

focus of obsessions, and some suggest that pathological guilt is a core feature of OCD (Shapiro & Stewart, 2011). Guilt has further been implicated in the relationship between social anxiety disorder and depression (Heeren & McNally, 2016; Hedman, Ström, Stünkel, & Mörtberg, 2013), and is a prominent feature in generalized anxiety disorder (Fergus, Valentiner, McGrath, & Jencius, 2010) and PTSD (Lee, Scragg, & Turner, 2001), suggesting that guilt may be a transdiagnostic feature that links a multitude of mental disorders. Our exploratory model suggests the hypothesis that adolescent sufferers of OCD with high levels of guilt may be at elevated risk of developing comorbid depression.

Impairment in concentration and decision-making (*concen*) was a highly central node and a bridge between OCD and depression. This finding is of special interest given that this node was relatively less important in the adult network (McNally et al., 2017b). It may be that concentration impairments are more salient in the functional context of the adolescent world. Piacentini, Bergman, Keller, and McCracken (2003) reported that the two most common functional problems in pediatric OCD were 1) problems concentrating on schoolwork and 2) problems doing homework. This illustrates the potential importance of life-context in a network conceptualization of mental illness, as well as the importance of tailoring networks to the group of interest.

Centrality was low for the sleep and appetite-related symptoms of depression. These symptoms also diverged from the general structure of depression and OCD in the community analysis. This suggests that the somatic symptoms included on the QIDS are not central in a network conceptualization of depression. This finding converges with evidence that points to the general heterogeneity of depression symptoms (Fried & Nesse, 2015) and improvements in homogeneity in depression assessments that focus on the core symptoms of depression (Lecrubier & Bech, 2007). This finding also demonstrates the potential utility of network analysis in clinical treatment: according to our model, it is highly unlikely that treatment focusing on controlling these symptoms would have much impact on the remaining symptoms of OCD and depression.

The network approach to psychopathology opens doors to exploring the potential mechanisms involved in the etiology and maintenance of




Fig. 3. Node centrality metrics (Graphical LASSO). Standardized values of node centrality metrics from the graphical LASSO model. High betweenness indicates that a symptom often appeared on the shortest path between two other symptoms. High strength indicates that the symptom was highly connected to other symptoms.




Fig. 4. Directed acyclic graph [DAG] of OCD and depression symptoms in 87 adolescents. Nodes represent symptoms of OCD or depression, and edges represent directed connections between symptoms. The DAG assumes that nodes are connected in a unidirectional, non-looping fashion and attempts to generate a model which most closely approximates the data given these assumptions. The graph shown is an average of 50,000 bootstrapped models.

mental disorders. Networks provide us with a view of how symptoms are interrelated. Considering the difficulty of classifying overlapping comorbidities in a latent model view, network analysis offers a refreshing approach that explains the overlap between syndromes with greater clarity. Most importantly, network structures could potentially inform interventions to have the most impact in preventing and treating disorders and their comorbidities.

4.1. Limitations

The implications of our analysis are notable. However, our method comes with several limitations. First, our sample size was relatively small considering the high statistical power necessary in network analysis; a small sample size can lead to instability in the LASSO and DAG. An analysis on network stability showed the LASSO to be acceptably stable, with some limitations (see supplemental materials). The values presented in the DAG are a result of the average of 50,000 bootstrapped models. Bootstrapped samples for the DAG also showed acceptable stability. Different operating systems can sometimes produce slightly different results in computationally-intensive procedures including the DAG (e.g., Gronenschild et al., 2012; McNally, Heeren, & Robinaugh, 2017a). Our analyses were run on Windows 10 Pro Version 1670 (additional details may be found in the Supplementary materials). Because of limited sample size, estimated parameters are associated with wide confidence intervals, and thus some differences between edges may be artifacts of low power. An important goal for future research is to replicate this analysis in a larger sample.

Our methods were exploratory, not experimental. Accordingly, the main implications for clinical practice should be an awareness of likely central symptoms and bridge symptoms in OCD and depression. If the edges emanating from bridge symptoms and high-centrality symptoms are causal, then they may represent appropriate therapeutic targets. A full understanding of symptom interactions can only be achieved by a fully causal model. Although approaches such as the graphical LASSO and DAG can move cross-sectional data closer to a causal interpretation (McNally et al., 2017b), networks derived from cross-sectional data are limited by definition. All three networks are observational and exploratory rather than experimental. Although we believe that network approaches to cross-sectional data can yield some preliminary causal clues, experimental data remain the gold standard for establishing causal claims. In addition, correlational approaches (association network, graphical LASSO) are limited because they cannot model direction, and the DAG is limited because it cannot model cyclicity. Results are therefore best interpreted through the convergence of several methods, in conjunction with evidence from the literature.

Future studies could improve upon our approach by measuring the change in symptoms over time and by including experimental manipulations. Time-series data allow for modeling networks that are both directed and cyclic. Using time-series data also creates the capability of generating networks on an individual level. Because relationships between symptoms that appear at the inter-individual level may not correspond to intra-individual patterns, creating individual networks enabling clinicians to target the most central nodes in a patient's network of symptoms. Experimental manipulations such as clinical interventions aimed at specific nodes could test for causality within the network (Kossakowski, 2017). For example, our models predict that in this population, a clinical intervention that focuses on changing time spent obsessing would have more downstream effects than an intervention that focuses on changing anhedonia. This prediction (as well as more specific predictions about selected edges in the network) should be explicitly tested in the future by using experimental interventions.

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.janxdis.2017.09.008>.

References

- American Psychiatric Association (2013). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders* (5th). Arlington, VA: Author.
- Anholt, G. E., Aderka, I. M., Van Balkom, A. J. L. M., Smit, J. H., Hermesh, H., De Haan, E., et al. (2011). The impact of depression on the treatment of obsessive-compulsive disorder: results from a 5-year follow-up. *Journal of Affective Disorders*, *135*, 201–207.
- Besiroglu, L., Uguz, F., Saglam, M., Agargun, M. Y., & Cilli, A. S. (2007). Factors associated with major depressive disorder occurring after the onset of obsessive-compulsive disorder. *Journal of Affective Disorders*, *102*, 73–79.
- Bolhuis, K., McAdams, T. A., Monzani, B., Gregory, A. M., Mataix-Cols, D., Stringaris, A., et al. (2014). Aetiological overlap between obsessive-compulsive and depressive symptoms: a longitudinal twin study in adolescents and adults. *Psychological Medicine*, *44*, 1439–1449.
- Borsboom, D., & Cramer, A. O. J. (2013). Network analysis: An integrative approach to the structure of psychopathology. *Annual Review of Clinical Psychology*, *9*, 91–121.
- Borsboom, D., Mellenbergh, G. J., & van Heerden, J. (2003). The theoretical status of latent variables. *Psychological Review*, *110*, 203–219.
- Borsboom, D. (2016). A network theory of mental disorders. *World Psychiatry*, *16*, 5–13.
- Brown, H. M., Lester, K. J., Jassi, A., Heyman, I., & Krebs, G. (2015). Paediatric obsessive-compulsive disorder and depressive symptoms: clinical correlates and CBT treatment outcomes. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology*, *43*, 933–942.
- Conolea, C. A., Schmidt, E. R., Leonard, R. C., Riemann, B. C., & Cahill, S. (2012). The children's Yale-Brown obsessive compulsive scale: Clinician versus self-report format in adolescents in a residential treatment facility. *Journal of Obsessive-Compulsive and Related Disorders*, *1*, 69–72.
- Cramer, A. O. J., Waldorp, L. J., van der Maas, H. L. J., & Borsboom, D. (2010). Complex realities require complex theories: Refining and extending the network approach to mental disorders. *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, *33*, 178–193.
- Cramer, A. O. J., van Borkulo, C. D., Giltay, E. J., van der Maas, H. L. J., Kendler, K. S., Scheffer, M., et al. (2016). Major depression as a complex dynamical system. *Public Library of Science*, *11*(12), e0167490.
- Csardi, G., & Nepusz, T. (2006). The igraph software package for complex network research. *InterJournal Complex Systems*, *1695*, 1–9.
- Epskamp, S., Cramer, A. O., Waldorp, L. J., Schmittmann, V. D., & Borsboom, D. (2012). qgraph: Network visualizations of relationships in psychometric data. *Journal of Statistical Software*, *48*, 1–18.
- Fergus, T. A., Valentiner, D. P., McGrath, P. B., & Jencius, S. (2010). Shame- and guilt-proneness: Relationships with anxiety disorder symptoms in a clinical sample. *Journal of Anxiety Disorders*, *24*, 811–815.
- Foa, E. B. (1979). Failure in treating obsessive-compulsives. *Behaviour Research and Therapy*, *17*, 169–176.
- Fortunato, S. (2010). Community detection in graphs. *Physics Reports*, *486*, 75–174.
- Fried, E. I., & Nesse, R. M. (2015). Depression sum-scores don't add up: why analyzing specific depression symptoms is essential. *BMC Medicine*, *13*, 1.
- Friedman, J., Hastie, T., & Tibshirani, R. (2011). Glasso: graphical lasso-estimation of Gaussian graphical models. *R Package Version*, *1*.
- Geller, D. A., Biederman, J., Faraone, S., Agranat, A., Craddock, K., Hagermoser, L., et al. (2001). Developmental aspects of obsessive compulsive disorder: findings in children, adolescents, and adults. *Journal of Nervous and Mental Disease*, *189*, 471–477.
- Goodman, W. K., Price, L. H., Rasmussen, S. A., Mazure, C., Fleischmann, R. L., Hill, C. L., et al. (1989). The Yale-Brown obsessive compulsive scale: i. Development, use, and reliability. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, *46*, 1006–1011.
- Gronenschild, E. H. B. M., Habets, P., Jacobs, H. I. L., Mengelers, R., Rozendaal, N., van Os, J., et al. (2012). The effects of FreeSurfer version, workstation type, and Macintosh operating system version on anatomical volume and cortical thickness measurements. *Public Library of Science*, *7*, e38234.
- Hanna, G. L. (1995). Demographic and clinical features of obsessive-compulsive disorder in children and adolescents. *Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry*, *34*, 19–27.
- Hedman, E., Ström, P., Stöckel, A., & Mörtberg, E. (2013). Shame and guilt in social anxiety disorder: Effects of cognitive behavior therapy and association with social anxiety and depressive symptoms. *Public Library of Science*, *8*, e61713.
- Heeren, A., & McNally, R. J. (2016). Mapping the connectivity among fear, avoidance, and comorbid depressive symptoms: A graphical network approach to social anxiety disorder. *Journal of Affective Disorders* submitted for publication.
- Huppert, J. D., Simpson, H. B., Nissenon, K. J., Liebowitz, M. R., & Foa, E. B. (2009). Quality of life and functional impairment in obsessive-compulsive disorder: A comparison of patients with and without comorbidity, patients in remission, and healthy controls. *Depression and Anxiety*, *26*, 39–45.
- Kamath, P., Reddy, Y. C. J., & Kandavel, T. (2007). Suicidal behavior in obsessive-compulsive disorder. *Journal of Clinical Psychiatry*, *68*, 1741.
- Karno, M., Golding, J. M., Sorenson, S. B., & Burnam, M. A. (1988). The epidemiology of obsessive-compulsive disorder in five US communities. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, *45*, 1094–1099.
- Kim, S., Thibodeau, R., & Jorgensen, R. S. (2011). Shame, guilt, and depressive symptoms: a meta-analytic review. *Psychological Bulletin*, *137*, 68–96.
- Kossakowski, J. J. (2017). Transforming mutations into models: inferring causal networks from experimental data. Presented at the association for psychological science conference.
- Leclercq, Y., & Bech, P. (2007). The HAM D 6 is more homogenous and as sensitive as the HAM D 17. *European Psychiatry*, *22*, 252–255.
- Lee, D. A., Scragg, P., & Turner, S. (2001). The role of shame and guilt in traumatic events: a clinical model of shame-based and guilt-based PTSD. *British Journal of Medical Psychology*, *74*, 451–466.
- McNally, R. J., Heeren, A., & Robinaugh, D. J. (2017a). A Bayesian network analysis of

- posttraumatic stress disorder symptoms in adults reporting childhood sexual abuse. *European Journal of Psychotraumatology*, 8, 1341276.
- McNally, R. J., Mair, P., Mugno, B. L., & Riemann, B. C. (2017b). Co-morbid obsessive-compulsive disorder and depression: a Bayesian network approach. *Psychological Medicine*, 47, 1204–1214.
- McNally, R. J. (2016). Can network analysis transform psychopathology? *Behaviour Research and Therapy*, 86, 95–104.
- Meyer, J. M., McNamara, J. P. H., Reid, A. M., Storch, E. A., Geffken, G. R., Mason, D. M., et al. (2014). Prospective relationship between obsessive-compulsive and depressive symptoms during multimodal treatment in pediatric obsessive-compulsive disorder. *Child Psychiatry & Human Development*, 45, 163–172.
- Millet, B., Kochman, F., Gallarda, T., Krebs, M. O., Demonfaucon, F., Barrot, I., et al. (2004). Phenomenological and comorbid features associated in obsessive-compulsive disorder: Influence of age of onset. *Journal of Affective Disorders*, 79, 241–246.
- Olatunji, B. O., Davis, M. L., Powers, M. B., & Smits, J. A. J. (2013). Cognitive-behavioral therapy for obsessive-compulsive disorder: A meta-analysis of treatment outcome and moderators. *Journal of Psychiatric Research*, 47, 33–41.
- Piacentini, J., Bergman, R. L., Keller, M. B., & McCracken, J. (2003). Functional impairment in children and adolescents with obsessive-compulsive disorder. *Journal of Child and Adolescent Psychopharmacology*, 13, S61–S69.
- Pinto, A., Mancebo, M. C., Eisen, J. L., Pagano, M. E., & Rasmussen, S. A. (2006). The Brown longitudinal obsessive compulsive study: Clinical features and symptoms of the sample at intake. *Journal of Clinical Psychiatry*, 67, 703.
- R Core Team (2017). *R: A language and environment for statistical computing*. Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing. <https://www.R-project.org/>.
- Ricciardi, J. N., & McNally, R. J. (1995). Depressed mood is related to obsessions, but not to compulsions: In obsessive-compulsive disorder. *Journal of Anxiety Disorders*, 9, 249–256.
- Rickelt, J., Viechtbauer, W., Lieverse, R., Overbeek, T., Van Balkom, A. J., Van Oppen, P., et al. (2016). The relation between depressive and obsessive-compulsive symptoms in obsessive-compulsive disorder: Results from a large, naturalistic follow-up study. *Journal of Affective Disorders*, 203, 241–247.
- Robinaugh, D. J., LeBlanc, N. J., Vuletich, H. A., & McNally, R. J. (2014). Network analysis of persistent complex bereavement disorder in conjugally bereaved adults. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*, 123, 510–522.
- Rush, A. J., Trivedi, M. H., Ibrahim, H. M., Carmody, T. J., Arnow, B., Klein, D. N., et al. (2003). The 16-Item Quick Inventory of Depressive Symptomatology (QIDS), clinician rating (QIDS-C), and self-report (QIDS-SR): A psychometric evaluation in patients with chronic major depression. *Biological Psychiatry*, 54, 573–583.
- Scahill, L., Riddle, M. A., McSwiggin-Hardin, M., Ort, S. I., King, R. A., Goodman, W. K., et al. (1997). Children's yale-Brown obsessive compulsive scale: Reliability and validity. *Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry*, 36, 844–852.
- Scutari, M., & Denis, J. B. (2014). *Bayesian networks: with examples in R*. Oakville: CRC Press.
- Scutari, M., & Nagarajan, R. (2013). Identifying significant edges in graphical models of molecular networks. *Artificial Intelligence in Medicine*, 57, 207–217.
- Scutari, M. (2010). Learning Bayesian networks with the bnlearn R package. *Journal of Statistical Software*, 35, 1–22.
- Shafan, R., Watkins, E., & Charman, T. (1996). Guilt in obsessive-compulsive disorder. *Journal of Anxiety Disorders*, 10, 509–516.
- Shafan, R. (2001). Obsessive-compulsive disorder in children and adolescents. *Child and Adolescent Mental Health*, 6, 50–58.
- Shapiro, L. J., & Stewart, S. (2011). Pathological guilt: a persistent yet overlooked treatment factor in obsessive-compulsive disorder. *Annals of Clinical Psychiatry*, 23, 63–70.
- Storch, E. A., Abramowitz, J. S., & Keeley, M. (2009). Correlates and mediators of functional disability in obsessive-compulsive disorder. *Depression and Anxiety*, 26, 806–813.
- Torres, A. R., Ramos-Cerqueira, A., Ferrão, Y. A., Fontenelle, L. F., Do Rosário, M. C., Miguel, E. C., et al. (2011). Suicidality in obsessive-compulsive disorder: prevalence and relation to symptom dimensions and comorbid conditions. *Journal of Clinical Psychiatry*, 72, 17–26.
- Zandberg, L. J., Zang, Y., McLean, C. P., Yeh, R., Simpson, H. B., Foa, E. B., et al. (2015). Change in obsessive-compulsive symptoms mediates subsequent change in depressive symptoms during exposure and response prevention. *Behaviour Research and Therapy*, 68, 76–81.